

**ACADEMIC SENATE
MINUTES
OCTOBER 9, 2025**

11:30 AM - 12:50 PM

PLN-130

Present: Ahmad, Badal, Barros, Becerra, Blandon-Gitlin, Bono, Bonuso, Carlin, Ceisel, Chen, Chik, Childers, Dabirian, Fontaine, Ghosh, Gradilla, Jarvis, Kalczynski, Kanel, Kleinjans, Kuffner, Luker, Mallicoat, Miller, Plouffe, Robinson, Rutowski, Salguero, Salim, Setten, Shahrestani, Struckhoff, Swarat, Thomas, Tobias, Tsong, Valdez, Wynants

Absent: Barber, Brusckhe, Graewingholt, Landeros, Larios, LeeKeenan, Martin, Milligan, Rochon, Valencia, Weismuller, Wilson, Wood

I. CALL TO ORDER

- Chair Jarvis called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

II. CONSENT CALENDAR

III. URGENT BUSINESS

- No urgent business.

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS

➤	Counseling & Psychological Services (CAPS) Spooktacular Self-Care Night	Tuesday, October 14, 2025 5:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m. Housing Piazza
➤	TitanWell National Collegiate Alcohol Awareness Week 2025	October 20-22, 2025 (flyer in Dropbox folder)

V. TIMES APPROXIMATE

11:35 AM – 11:55 AM
Topic: Cybersecurity
Presenters: VP Manriquez

VP Manriquez presentation slides covered the following areas:

- CSUF is Secure
- Question: Is the data in the university camera system secured? CCTV Systems and Video Servers
- Question: Is the data from the door access systems secured? Door Access Systems – 3 Types
- Understanding the Information Security Threat Landscape
- CROWDSTRIKE Cybersecurity Report
- Global Trends and Events
- CSUF's Infrastructure Protection September 2025
- CSUF's User & Device Protection September 2025
- Zero Trust Architecture - Information Security Roadmap (Multi-year Objective)
- SAVE THE DATE – Learn about Zero-Trust Readiness Road Map

Q & A:

Q: (Robinson) One of the concerns from the prior meeting was the extent to which this data, such as video evidence of people leaving and entering buildings, is siloed off and who has access to it.

A: (VP Manriquez) All of the access controls are made directly through the police department. There are some administrative accesses for people who are certified into that particular piece of software to run it. Those are the only two levels of access unless we receive calls and these would go through the police department for things that come through as court orders or those types of elements that come through legal.

Q: (Struckhoff) How long is that video data retained?

A: (VP Manriquez) I'm going to have to refer to my information security officer who does data retention.

- (Mike Hiram) Lists of all our data retention policies are on the Risk Management website. We would be happy to share those links as a follow up to this meeting.

VI. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

➤ M/S/P (Kalczynski/Barros) Motion to approve August 28th minutes. Motion passed.

6.1 ASD 25-93 Academic Senate Minutes 8-28-25 (Draft)

6.2 ASD 25-103 Academic Senate Minutes 9-25-25 (Draft) - forthcoming

VII. REPORTS

1. [Chair's Report](#)

2. President Report - **no report**.

3. [Provost Report](#)

Q: (Kuffner) In the absence of the President, I would like to ask the Provost this question. We've recently found out that UCLA shared a bunch of information with the government about its faculty, and rumors have gone around that the CSU system has done the same. Can you tell us what information about us was shared with the government?

A: (Provost) I don't have that information right now. I'd be happy to find out and let you know.

4. Statewide Academic Senate Report - **no report**.

- (Kanel) We are going to have a couple of Board of Trustees coming to visit us. If you have feedback or questions, email me and I'll make sure your feedback or your question gets addressed directly.

5. ASI Report - **no report**.

6. [CFA Report](#)

- I want to bring attention here to the threat to free speech that this administration is posing. We've learned that the CSU did comply with federal requests for the personal information of faculty and staff, at least at the LA campus. And while I acknowledge the legal restraints the CSU operates under, I want to underscore that these investigations are not being taken in good faith, but as intimidation tactics are aimed at threatening free speech and interfering in the classroom.

So, we ask that the CFA be consulted prior to any compliance with the federal government information requests so that we can better protect and inform our members of their legal rights and responsibilities. We would have filed an injunction against the federal government had we been aware of the information request prior to the administration complying. And so, we also would like to ask this body to consider what we can do on our campus to oppose any agreement that we might make that would compromise our institutional autonomy and academic freedoms.

VIII. NEW BUSINESS

8.1 ASD 25-104 Revisions to UPS 210.000 - Tenure and Promotion Personnel Procedures

- M/S/P (Kanel/Wynants) Motion to approve ASD 25-104 Revisions to UPS 210.000 - Tenure and Promotion Personnel Procedures. Motion passed.

8.2 ASD 25-105 Revisions to UPS 410.103 - Curriculum Guidelines and Procedures: Academic Programs

- M/S/P (Childers/Salim) Motion to approve ASD 25-105 Revisions to UPS 410.103 - Curriculum Guidelines and Procedures: Academic Programs. Motion passed as amended.
- M/S/P (Swarat/Childers) Lines 7-11: reword to read: "An academic program is a series of credit-bearing courses that have a clearly defined disciplinary or interdisciplinary focus. The successful completion of these courses leads to the attainment of broad educational objectives or learning outcomes, and qualifies for the official award of completion on the transcript. And to change "academic objectives" to "academic programs" throughout the document. Motion passed.

Back to main motion

- (Swarat/Mallicoat) Lines 113, 118 and 169: update the WSCUC Liaison Officer title to "CSUF's WSCUC Accreditation Liaison Officer". Motion passed unanimously.

Back to main motion

- (Bandon-Gitlin) Line 55: add the wording "New concentrations are considered new programs". Considered friendly.
- (Swarat) Add the wording "for the context of this UPS". The sentence will read "New concentrations are considered new programs for the context of this UPS". Considered friendly.
- (Bandon-Gitlin) Lines 137 - 143: move the language to line 91. Considered friendly.
- M/S/ (Bandon-Gitlin/Childers) Lines 156-157: insert wording "The Chancellor's Office reviews new concentration proposals to ensure they meet CSU policy and applicable law".
 - (Childers) Amendment to add the wording "The Chancellor's Office reviews new concentration proposals to ensure they meet CSU policy and applicable law" to line 154. And delete the wording "The Chancellor's office shall be notified of such new concentrations".
 - (Dabirian) I think the order should be opposite; it should read:
 2. New minors do not require approval by nor notice to the Chancellor's Office, pursuant to Executive Order 1071 Revised.
 3. Executive Order 1071 Revised delegates to campus presidents the authority to approve concentrations within a degree. The Chancellor's Office shall be notified of such new concentrations.
 4. The appropriate AVP office shall be responsible for informing the Chancellor's Office of all new program proposals.
 5. The Chancellor's Office audits new concentration proposals to ensure they meet CSU policy and applicable law.
- M/S/P (Kanel/Dabirian) Motion to move to resolve to the Committee of the Whole. Motion passed.
 - (Jarvis) Move the wording "The Chancellor's Office audits new concentration proposals to ensure they meet CSU policy and applicable law" to line 156 and make it sub 3.a.
- M/S/P (Kanel/Childers) Motion to resolve from the Committee of the Whole. Motion passed.
- Senate approved the amendment from the Committee of the Whole.

Back to main motion

- M/S/ (Fontaine/Wynants) Lines 65-67: delete the sentence.
- M/S/P (Robinson/Badal) Motion to resolve to the Committee of the Whole. Motion passed.
 - (Robinson) Lines 65-67: restore the wording and revise wording it to read: "Options, emphases, focuses, tracks, pathways, cognates, and other like terms may be used for advising, but are not concentrations and have no official status in the University Catalog or student's transcript".
 - (Fontaine) Revise the wording it to read: "Options, emphases, focuses, tracks, pathways, cognates, and other like terms are not concentrations and have no official status in the University Catalog or student's transcript".

- (Swarat) Remove the wording “in the University Catalog or”.
- (Plouffe) Lines 65-67: reword to read “Concentrations are recognized on the student’s transcript. Terms such as options, emphases, focuses, tracks, pathways, and cognates, and other like terms are not concentrations and have no official status”.
- (Jarvis) Line 64: delete the sentence.
- (Struckhoff) Line 66: delete the words “other like terms”.
- M/S/P (Jarvis/Badal) Motion to resolve from the Committee of the Whole. Motion passed
- Senate approved the amendment from the Committee of the Whole.

Back to main motion

8.3 ASD 25-106 Rescission of UPS 410.104 - Undergraduate Subprograms: Concentrations

- M/S/P (Dabirian/Wynants) Motion to table ASD 25-106 Rescission of UPS 410.104 - Undergraduate Subprograms: Concentrations until UPS 410.103 has been approved by the President. Motion passed.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

- M/S/P (Badal/Swarat) Meeting adjourned at 12:43 pm.